
2015/1 (21)

Rok/Year: 2015
Ročník/Volume: 21
Číslo/Issue: 1

Jan Štefan	<u>Vyučujeme na teologii opravdu teologii? Malá filipika proti a-theologům</u>
Jan Dušek	<u>Aramejská epigrafika a Starý zákon</u>
Filip Čapek	<u>Existuje „to“ lepší a lze je nalézt? (Kazatel 7,1–12)</u>
Peter Cimala	<u>Finanční podpora misijní práce apoštola Pavla: Evangelium zadarmo?</u>
Michal Chalupski	<u>Práce na bohoslužebných knihách Českobratrské církve evangelické do roku 1939</u>
Jan Štefan	<u>Dodatky ke studii Theologie ČCE</u>
Pavel Roubík	<u>Recenze: Zdenko Šírka et al.: Hermeneutika zkušenosti v křesťanské tradici</u>
Pavel Filipi	<u>Recenze: Heidelberský katechismus, přel. Peter Cimala</u>
Elena Sidorová	<u>Recenze: Josef Tiňo (ed.): Exodus (Komentáře k Starému Zákonu 3)</u>
Peter Rusnák	<u>Recenze: Jaromír Feber et al.: Ztraceni v terrapolis</u>
	<u>Práce přijaté a obhájené v roce 2014</u>


[Plný text celého čísla \(pdf\)](#)

[Obálka \(pdf\)](#)


[Obsah \(pdf\)](#)

Vyučujeme na teologii opravdu teologii? Malá filipika proti a-theologům

Autor:	Jan Štefan
Abstrakt:	LEHREN WIR IN DER THEOLOGISCHEN FAKULTÄT WIRKLICH THEOLOGIE? EINE KLEINE PHILIPPIK GEGEN DIE A?THEOLOGEN. F. Schleiermacher charakterisierte Theologie als eine Verbindung vom religiösen bzw. kirchlichen Interesse und wissenschaftlichen Geist; P. Tillich als das mit dem unbedingten Anliegen begleitete Philosophieren. Theologisch beschäftigen wir uns mit der Bibel und mit der christlichen Tradition dann, wenn wir sie mit spiritueller, ekklesialer und existentieller Dimension unseres Lebens konfrontieren. Nach E. Jüngel soll ein Theologe Gott ausreden zu lassen; nach G. Ebeling soll er ihn zur Sprache zu bringen. Theologische Arbeit mit der Bibel und mit der christlichen Tradition zielt also auf nichts Geringeres als das Wort / Sprechen Gottes. Lehren wir in Prager Theologischer Fakultät wirklich Theologie? Und studieren Prager Theologiestudenten wirklich Theologie? Karl Barths Lieblingswort „Sei, was du bist!“ ermahnt und ermutigt uns im Sinne einer paulinischen Paränese

	zur Freiheit, das zu sein, was wir sind: Theologen, Theologinnen.
Citace:	ŠTEFAN, Jan. Vyučujeme na teologii opravdu teologii? Malá filipika proti a-theologům. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 5-14.
	Plný text (pdf)
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) .	
	

Aramejská epigrafika a Starý zákon

Autor:	Jan Dušek
Abstrakt:	ARAMAIC EPIGRAPHY AND OLD TESTAMENT After a brief summary of concerning the Aramaic epigraphy, the author presents his own interpretation of the Aramaic inscription on stele no. III from Sefire (Syria). In the article he presents how this text may shed a new light on some Old Testament texts that concern different coalitions of Levantine states in the Iron Age. The Aramaic text of Sefire III was written a few years before the so called "Syro-Ephraimite war" of Damascus and Israel against Judah in the mid-8th century, and illustrates what could have been the legal context of the creation of such a coalition.
Citace:	DUŠEK, Jan. Aramejská epigrafika a Starý zákon. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 15-32.
	Plný text (pdf)
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) .	
	

Existuje ,to‘ lepší a lze je nalézt? (Kazatel 7,1–12)

Autor:	Filip Čapek
Abstrakt:	DOES THE BETTER EXIST AND IS IT POSSIBLE TO FIND IT? (QOHELET 7,1–12) The fundamental question permeating the book of Qohelet as such is concentrated on attainability of that what is good (hebr. t?v, bwj) for man in his/her existence defined as being 'under the sun' (vmyh txt). This study deals with the first part of the 7th chapter and after putting the text to its close context, it exposes its elaborated four-part structure (vv. 1–6a; 6b–7; 8–10; 11–12) as a potential key for understanding of its very complex dynamics. Such perspective enables deeper insight into logic and ingenious fabric of the text which seems otherwise to be more or less loose collection of sapiential sayings partly contradicting each other.
Citace:	ČAPEK, Filip. Existuje ,to‘ lepší a lze je nalézt? (Kazatel 7,1–12). <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 33-48.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Finanční podpora misijní práce apoštola Pavla: Evangelium zadarmo?


Autor:	Peter Cimala
Abstrakt:	<p>FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF THE MISSION WORK OF THE APOSTLE PAUL: THE GOSPEL FOR FREE?</p> <p>This paper presents the New Testament contribution to the debate on the financial independence of the Czech churches and their operation without state support. For this purpose we investigate some aspects of the financial policy of the apostle Paul, documented in the New Testament. The first chapter deals with the socio-historical context in Greco-Roman society and possible means of livelihood for teachers or wandering missionaries in the first century. In the second chapter we focus on some attitudes toward manual work in antiquity as the context of Paul's own trade and his work ethos. The following part shows briefly the texts relevant to our topic; this digest documents the variety and flexibility of the apostle Paul regarding support for mission work. The final chapter is a synthesis of the essay and an attempt to present three "rights" and "freedoms" for both the pastors and the churches to seek and accept possible means of support based on the socio-economical context and setting, with their obvious pros and cons. In the conclusion we address the question, what were the necessary conditions on the side of the early local churches and the apostle Paul which allowed him to preach the Gospel for free.</p>
Citace:	CIMALA, Peter. Finanční podpora misijní práce apoštola Pavla: Evangelium zadarmo? <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 49-66.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).




Práce na bohoslužebných knihách Českobratrské církve evangelické do roku 1939


Autor:	Michal Chalupski
Abstrakt:	<p>THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SERVICE BOOKS OF THE EVANGELICAL CHURCH OF CZECH BRETHREN UNTIL 1939</p> <p>The Evangelical Church of Czech Brethren (ECCB) was established in 1918 as a united church and soon afterwards work on the development of a service book began. It was intended that this book would be composed mainly of elements from the Czech reformation tradition, with forms appropriate for the unity of the Lutheran and Reformed wings of the ECCB. In 1923 a special committee was established for this purpose. The first service book of the new church was published in 1925 as <i>Příručka bohoslužebná pro Českobratrskou církev evangelickou</i> (Service Handbook of the Evangelical Church of Czech</p>

	Brethren). Its use was optional. But this was only the first step; the Service Handbook was supposed to be a draft for subsequent work. A few congregations accepted this book. In 1928 the preparation of new service book began, with the publication of a draft second volume of the Service Handbook as a basis for discussion and remarks. This draft was strongly attacked by the clergy due to its theological errors, the inclusion of liturgical elements, and other shortcomings. In 1939 the final version of the service book was published: <i>Kniha modliteb a služebností Českobratrské církve evangelické</i> (Book of Prayers and Services of the Evangelical Church of Czech Brethren). The church leadership urged congregations to adapt their worship so that it was in keeping with this new handbook.
Citace:	CHALUPSKI, Michal. Práce na bohoslužebných knihách Českobratrské církve evangelické do roku 1939. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 67-79.
	Plný text (pdf)
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) .	
	

Dodatky ke studii Theologie ČCE

Autor:	Jan Štefan
Citace:	ŠTEFAN, Jan. Dodatky ke studii Theologie ČCE. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 80-83.
	Plný text (pdf)
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) .	
	

Recenze: Zdenko Širka et al.: Hermeneutika zkušenosti v křesťanské tradici

Autor:	Pavel Roubík
Citace:	ROUBÍK, Pavel. Recenze: Zdenko Širka et al.: Hermeneutika zkušenosti v křesťanské tradici. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 84-91.
	Plný text (pdf)
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) .	
	

Recenze: Heidelberský katechismus, přel. Peter Cimala

Autor:	Pavel Filipi
Citace:	FILIPÍ, Pavel. Recenze: Heidelberský katechismus, přel. Peter Cimala. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 91-93.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Recenze: Josef Tiňo (ed.): Exodus (Komentáře k Starému Zákonu 3)

Autor:	Elena Sidorová
Citace:	SIDOROVÁ, Elena. Recenze: Josef Tiňo (ed.): Exodus (Komentáře k Starému Zákonu 3). <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 93-95.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Recenze: Jaromír Feber et al.: Ztraceni v terrapolis

Autor:	Peter Rusnák
Citace:	RUSNÁK, Peter. Recenze: Jaromír Feber et al.: Ztraceni v terrapolis. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 95-99.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Práce přijaté a obhájené v roce 2014

Citace:	Práce přijaté a obhájené v roce 2014. <i>Teologická reflexe/Theological Reflection</i> . 21/1 (2015), s. 100-112.
	Plný text (pdf)

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

